By Speed Post

No L-11015/68/2016-L&M Government of India Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare (OFFICE OF THE CENTRAL REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES)

Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi Dated 8 August, 2016

Notice

The Chief Promoter of Gramiya Agricultural and Marketing Co-operative Society Limited, 40/1, Palladam Road, Othakalmandapam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641032, has submitted a proposal for registration of a multi-State cooperative society under the provisions of Multi-State Cooperative Societies (MSCS) Act, 2002. On scrutiny, the following deficiencies have been observed in the proposal:-

- a) In Form No. 1, reasons given by the society for its registration under the MSCS Act, 2002 do not seem to be on merit and it can be registered and function under the State Act to achieve the objectives of the society.
- b) Project report is not satisfactory. Profit appropriation as required u/s 63 of the Act has not been provided. The estimated expenditure on the project and the source of income for the project have not been provided.
- c) The objectives are very vast in Form No. 1 as well as in bye-law No. 5. At the time of registration, the objectives are to be limited to a certain extent, since the same are amendable from time to time as and when required by making bye-law amendments.
- d) Bye-law No. 4(3) is not framed properly. Nowhere in the Acts & Rules, the word 'Registrar' has been used.
- e) The proposed society is agro-marketing society and whereas, the provision made in bye-law No. 5(B)(i) pertains to housing/construction activities.
- f) Bye-law No. 9.2 and bye-law No. 8.4 are contrary to each other.
- g) There is no provision for bye-law No. 9.1 and overall the Serial No. of bye-law No. 9 has not been given properly.
- h) In bye-law No. 12, the proviso as required u/s 25(4) of the MSCS Act, 2002 has not been provided.
- i) Bye-law No. 25(1) is in contravention to the provision of section 37(1) of the Act.
- j) Bye-law No. 30.1 and the first para of bye-law No. 29 are the same
- k) Bye-law No. 31(4) is not framed properly and not consistent with Election Rule 1(f) of Election Schedule annexed with MSCS Rule, 2002.

- 1) Bye-law No. 32 is not consistent with Rule No. 16 of MSCS Rule, 2002.
- m) The provision made in bye-law No. 37(i) is not required to be provided in the byelaw.
- n) The provision made in bye law No 35(v) is in contravention to the proviso of section 41 of the Act
- o) Bye-law No. 38 is not consistent with provision of section 49 of the Act.
- p) Bye-law No. 45(2) is in contravention to the provision of section 44(2) of the Act.
- q) Bye-law No 48 is not consistent with provision of section 52 of the Act.
- r) Bye-law No. 56 relating to audit is not consistent with provision of section 70(2) & (9) of the Act.
- s) Bye-law No. 60 relating to credit activity for which NOC is required from the RCS of the concerned States where the society proposed as area of operation.

3. If none appears for hearing, it would be presumed that you have nothing to say in the matter and accordingly, appropriate order shall be passed as ex-parte

4. This issues with the approval of Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies.

P. Saranal) (P. Sampath) Director (Cooperation)

To

Chief Promoter (Shri V. Vinodhkumar) Gramiya Agricultural and Marketing Co-operative Society Limited, 40/1, Palladam Road, Othakalmandapam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-641032